



# Modules of third-order differential operators on a conformally flat manifold

S.E. Loubon Djounga

*CNRS, Centre de Physique Théorique, Luminy, Case 907, F13288 Marseille, Cedex 9, France*

Received 27 March 2000; received in revised form 31 July 2000

---

## Abstract

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold endowed with a flat conformal structure and  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(M)$  the space of densities of degree  $\lambda$  on  $M$ . We study the space  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3(M)$  of third-order differential operators from  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(M)$  to  $\mathcal{F}_\mu(M)$  as a module over the conformal Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1)$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3(M)$  is isomorphic to the corresponding module of third-order polynomials on  $T^*(M)$  for almost all values of  $\delta = \mu - \lambda$ , except for eight resonant values. The isomorphism is unique and will be given explicitly, yielding a conformally equivariant quantization. We also study the modules in the case of resonance. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 58G99

Subj. Class.: Differential geometry

Keywords: Modules of differential operators

---

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Modules of differential operators

The study of the space  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  of linear differential operators on a smooth manifold viewed as a module over the group  $\text{Diff}(M)$  of diffeomorphisms of  $M$ , and the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(M)$  of vectors fields on  $M$  is a classical problem of differential geometry [17]. The modules  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  have already been considered in the classical literature on differential operators and, more recently, in a series of papers [3,5,10,12–14]. There is a filtration  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^0 \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^1 \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k \subset \dots$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^0 \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mu-\lambda}$  is given by multiplication with  $(\mu - \lambda)$ -densities. The higher-order are defined by induction:  $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k$  if  $[A, f] \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{k-1}$  for every  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .

---

*E-mail address:* loubon@cpt.univ-mrs.fr (S.E. Loubon Djounga).

Let  $S := \text{Pol}(T^*M)$  be the space of polynomials on  $T^*M$ . This space is isomorphic to the space of contravariant symmetric tensor fields on  $M$ . One defines a one parameter family of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ -modules or  $\text{Vect}(M)$ -modules on the space of symbols

$$S_\delta := S \otimes \mathcal{F}_\delta.$$

This space is naturally considered (cf. [5,7]) as the space of symbols of  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  for  $\mu - \lambda = \delta$ .

Again, there is a filtration  $S_\delta^0 \subset S_\delta^1 \subset \dots \subset S_\delta^k \subset \dots$ , where  $S_\delta^k$  denotes the space of symbols of degree less or equal to  $k$ . In contrast to the filtration on  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ , the previous filtration on the space of symbols actually leads to a  $\text{Diff}(M)$ -invariant graduation:

$$S_\delta = \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty S_{k,\delta}.$$

Here  $S_{k,\delta}$  denotes the space of homogenous polynomials (isomorphic to  $S_\delta^k/S_\delta^{k-1}$ ). Let us recall that one result of [12] is that if  $k \geq 3$  and  $\dim M \geq 2$ , the modules  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda',\mu'}^k$  are isomorphic provided that  $(\lambda', \mu') = (1 - \mu, 1 - \lambda)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k$  is not isomorphic to the corresponding symbols space  $S_\delta^k = \bigoplus_{l \leq k} S_{l,\delta}$  (cf. [13]).

### 1.2. Main problem

$S_\delta$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  are neither isomorphic as  $\text{Diff}(M)$ -modules nor as  $\text{Vect}(M)$ -modules. It is natural to consider a subgroup  $G \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  (of finite dimension) and restrict the action of  $\text{Diff}(M)$  to  $G$ . This idea has been used in [17] (for  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R}) \subset \text{Diff}(S^1)$ ) and [3,4,9] for the one dimensional case. In higher dimensions, the space  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k$  has been studied in [6,7] on a conformally flat manifold. In this case the symmetry group is  $G = \text{SO}(p + 1, q + 1)$ ,  $p + q = \dim(M)$ . The main result of [7] is that  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k$  and  $S_\delta^k$  are isomorphic as  $\text{SO}(p + 1, q + 1)$ -modules for almost all values of  $\delta = \mu - \lambda$ , except for resonant values. The detailed study of  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} S_\delta^2$  was performed in [6]. Here we propose the study of the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3 \xrightarrow{\cong} S_\delta^3. \tag{1.1}$$

The conformally equivariant quantization was developed in [6] and the existence and uniqueness was proven in [7] for generic values of  $\mu - \lambda$ . Detailed studies of the modules of third-order linear differential operators are of particular interest; they yield interesting examples for quantization. In other word, the resonant modules whose existence was proven in [6,7] have not yet been studied in the case of third-order. However, these particular modules provide remarkable examples of differential operators.

### 1.3. Application to the quantization of the geodesic flow

As an example, the quantization of the geodesic flow yields a novel conformally equivariant Laplace operator on half-densities, as well as the well-known Yamabe Laplacian.

## 2. Main results

Let  $M$  be a manifold endowed with a flat conformal structure: there exists a local action of the group  $O(p + 1, q + 1)$  on  $M$ , which enables us to restrict the  $\text{Diff}(M)$ -module  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}$  to the conformal group. In the following, we recall the corresponding action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1)$ .

### 2.1. The conformal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1) \subset \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

It is well-known that a conformally flat manifold admits an atlas in which  $\mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1)$  is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= \partial_i \quad (\text{translations}), & X_{ij} &= x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i \quad (\text{rotations}), \\ \bar{X}_i &= x_j x^j \partial_i - 2x_i x^j \partial_j \quad (\text{inversions}), & X_0 &= x^i \partial_i \quad (\text{homothety}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$  with  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $x_i = g_{ij}x^j$ ; and the flat metric  $g = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$  has a trace  $p - q$  ( $p + q = n$ , sum over repeated indices is understood).

### 2.2. Theorem of isomorphism in the generic case

We can now state the main result of this work whose proof will be given in Section 5.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $n = p + q \geq 2$ ,*

1. *there exists an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1)$ -modules:*

$$\sigma_{\lambda, \mu} : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^3 \xrightarrow{\cong} S_\delta^3 \quad (2.2)$$

*provided*

$$\delta = \mu - \lambda \notin \left\{ \frac{n+2}{2n}, \frac{n+4}{2n}, \frac{2}{n}, 1, \frac{n+1}{n}, \frac{n+2}{n}, \frac{n+3}{n}, \frac{n+4}{n} \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

2. *for every  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  as in (2.3), this isomorphism is unique under the condition that the principal symbol be preserved at each order.*

Therefore, in the general situation, the unique invariant of the  $\mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1)$ -module  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$  is the difference:  $\delta = \mu - \lambda$ .

We will call the particular values of  $\delta$  given by the formula (2.3) resonances.

**Remark 2.2.** Theorem 2.1 is compatible with the result of [7], but the demonstration will be different.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $n = 1$ , then Theorem 2.1 holds with the resonances:  $1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3$ . (see [9,11]).*

**Definition 2.4.** The isomorphism  $\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}$  given by (2.2) is called the conformally equivariant symbol map while its inverse

$$Q_{\lambda,\mu} : S_{\delta}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3, \tag{2.4}$$

will be called the conformally equivariant quantization map.

2.3. The modules  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$  in the resonant case

We study in this section the singular modules corresponding to the resonances in the case  $n \geq 2$  (for the  $n = 1$  case, see [9]).

**Theorem 2.5.** For each resonant value of  $\delta$ , there exists a unique pair  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of weights such that the  $\mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1)$ -modules  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$  and  $S_{\delta}^3$  are isomorphic, as given below:

$\delta$	$\lambda$	$\mu$
1	0	1
$2/n$	$(n - 2)/2n$	$(n + 2)/2n$
$(n + 1)/n$	0	$(n + 1)/n$
	$-(1/n)$	1
$(n + 2)/n$	$-(1/n)$	$(n + 1)/n$
$(n + 3)/n$	$-(2/n)$	$(n + 1)/n$
	$-(1/n)$	$(n + 2)/n$
$(n + 4)/n$	$-(2/n)$	$(n + 2)/n$
$(n + 2)/2n$	0	$(n + 2)/2n$
	$(n - 2)/2n$	1
$(n + 4)/2n$	$-(1/n)$	$(n + 2)/2n$
	$(n - 2)/2n$	$(n + 1)/n$

We will show that the isomorphism is not unique; there exists, actually, a one-, two-, or three-parameter family of such isomorphisms in each resonant case.

**3. Differential operators and symbols**

Consider the determinant bundle  $\Lambda^n T^*M \rightarrow M$ . Let us recall that a tensor density of degree  $\lambda$  on  $M$  is a smooth section,  $\phi$ , of the line bundle  $|\Lambda^n T^*M|^{\otimes \lambda}$ . The space  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(M)$  of tensor densities of degree  $\lambda$  has a natural structure of a  $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module, defined by the Lie derivative.

In coordinates:

$$\phi = \phi(x^1, \dots, x^n) |dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n|^{\lambda}.$$

The action of  $X \in \text{Vect } \mathbb{R}^n$  on  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by

$$L_X^{\lambda} \phi = X^i \partial_i \phi + \lambda \partial_i X^i \phi. \tag{3.1}$$

Note, that this formula does not depend on the choice of local coordinates.

**Remark 3.1.** The simplest examples of modules of tensor densities are  $\mathcal{F}_0 = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the module  $\mathcal{F}_{1/2}$  is particularly important for geometric quantization (see [2]).

Consider the space  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (or  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  for short) of differential operators on tensor densities,  $A : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu$ . The natural  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  is given by

$$L_X^{\lambda,\mu}(A) = L_X^\mu \circ A - A \circ L_X^\lambda. \tag{3.2}$$

Denote  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  the  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  — module of  $k$ th-order differential operators. In local coordinates any linear differential operator of order  $k$  is of the form:

$$A = a_k^{i_1 \dots i_k} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_k} + \dots + a_1^i \partial_i + a_0,$$

with coefficients  $a_k^{i_1 \dots i_k} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

An operator  $A : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu$  is called a local operator on  $M$  if for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$  one has  $\text{Supp}(A(\phi)) \subset \text{Supp}(\phi)$ . It is a classical result (see [15,16]) that such operators are in fact locally given by differential operators.

Consider the space  $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$  of functions on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , polynomial on the fibers:  $P(\xi) = \sum_{l=0}^k \bar{a}_l^{i_1 \dots i_l} \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_l}$ . One defines a one parameter family of  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -modules on the space of symbols by  $S_\delta := \text{Pol}(T^*M) \otimes \mathcal{F}_\delta$ .

The action of  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  on  $S_\delta$  reads:

$$L_X^\delta(P) = \left( X^i \partial_i - \xi_j \partial_i (X^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \right) (P) + \delta (\partial_i X^i) P. \tag{3.3}$$

Throughout this paper, we will identify the space of symbols with the space of symmetric contravariant tensor fields on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### 3.1. Identification of the vector spaces $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let us consider the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\rightarrow \text{Pol}(T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)), a_k^{i_1 \dots i_k} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_k} + \dots + a_1^i \partial_i + a_0 \\ &\mapsto a_k^{i_1 \dots i_k} \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k} + \dots + a_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}'$$

We obtain in this way an isomorphism of vector spaces  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong \text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -actions are, of course, different. We will, therefore, distinguish two  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -modules

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu} \equiv (\text{Pol}(T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)), L_X^{\lambda,\mu}), \tag{3.4}$$

$$S_\delta \equiv (\text{Pol}(T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)), L_X^\delta), \delta = \mu - \lambda. \tag{3.5}$$

**Remark 3.2.** In mathematical physics this identification is often called normal ordering. Another frequently used way to identify the space of differential operators on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the space  $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$  is provided by the Weyl symbol calculus.

### 3.2. Comparison of the $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -action on $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $S_\delta$

Let us compare the  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  with the standard  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -action on  $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We will use the preceding identification and write the  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -action (3.2) in term of polynomials.

**Lemma 3.3.** *The  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  has the following form:*

$$L_X^{\lambda,\mu} = L_X^\delta - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{ij} X \partial_{\xi_i} \partial_{\xi_j} - \lambda (\partial_i \circ D) X \partial_{\xi_i}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $DX = \partial_i X^i$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward computation.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *The action of  $\mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1)$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$  reads:*

$$1. L_X^{\lambda,\mu} = L_X^\delta \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{ce}(p, q) = \langle X_0, X_{ij}, X_i \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

$$2. L_{X_i}^{\lambda,\mu} = L_{X_i}^\delta - \xi_i T + 2(\mathcal{E} + n\lambda) \partial_{\xi_i}, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $T = \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_j}$  is the trace  $\mathcal{E} = \xi_j \partial_{\xi_j}$ , the Euler operator.

**Proof.** This is a direct consequence of the preceding lemma.  $\square$

## 4. Explicit formulae for the isomorphism

Let us give the explicit formula for the isomorphism (2.2). The isomorphism (2.2) is uniquely determined by two properties:

1.  $\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}$  intertwines the  $\mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1)$ -action (3.3) and (3.4):

$$\sigma_{\lambda,\mu} \circ L_X^{\lambda,\mu} = L_X^\delta \circ \sigma_{\lambda,\mu} \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1). \quad (4.1)$$

2.  $\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}$  preserve the principal symbol of  $A$ .

### 4.1. The image of an operator and the image of a symbol

If  $A = a_3^{ijk} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k + a_2^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + a_1^i \partial_i + a_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ , then  $\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(A) = \bar{a}_3^{ijk} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_k + \bar{a}_2^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j + \bar{a}_1^i \xi_i + \bar{a}_0$  is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The isomorphism  $\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_3^{ijk} &= a_3^{ijk}, & \bar{a}_2^{ij} &= a_2^{ij} + \alpha_1 g_{lm} (g^{jk} \partial_k a_3^{ilm} + g^{ik} \partial_k a_3^{jlm}) + \alpha_2 \partial_k a_3^{ijk} + \alpha_3 g^{ij} g_{lm} \partial_k a_3^{klm}, \\ \bar{a}_1^i &= a_1^i + \alpha_4 g^{ij} g_{kl} \partial_j a_2^{kl} + \alpha_5 \partial_j a_2^{ij} + \alpha_6 g^{jk} g_{lm} \partial_j \partial_k a_3^{ilm} + \alpha_7 \partial_j \partial_k a_3^{ijk} \\ &\quad + \alpha_8 g^{ij} g_{lm} \partial_j \partial_k a_3^{klm}, \\ \bar{a}_0 &= a_0 + \alpha_9 \partial_i a_1^i + \alpha_{10} g^{ij} g_{kl} \partial_i \partial_j a_2^{kl} + \alpha_{11} \partial_i \partial_j a_2^{ij} + \alpha_{12} g^{ij} g_{lm} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k a_3^{klm} \\ &\quad + \alpha_{13} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k a_3^{ijk}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1 &= \frac{-3n(-1 + \delta + 2\lambda)}{2(n\delta - 2)(n\delta - 4 - n)}, & \alpha_2 &= \frac{3(n\lambda + 2)}{n\delta - n - 4}, \\
 \alpha_3 &= \frac{-3n(2\lambda + \delta - 1)}{(n\delta - 2)(n\delta - n - 2)(n\delta - n - 4)}, & \alpha_4 &= \frac{-n(2\lambda + \delta - 1)}{(n\delta - 2)(n\delta - n - 2)}, \\
 \alpha_5 &= \frac{2(n\lambda + 1)}{n\delta - n - 2}, & \alpha_6 &= \frac{-3(n\lambda + 1)(n\lambda - n + n\delta - 1)}{(2n\delta - n - 4)(n\delta - n - 3)(n\delta - n - 2)}, \\
 \alpha_7 &= \frac{3(n\lambda + 2)(n\lambda + 1)}{(n\delta - n - 2)(n\delta - n - 3)}, \\
 \alpha_8 &= \frac{-3(n\lambda + 1)(4n^2\lambda\delta - 2n^2\lambda - 3n^2\delta + n^2 + 2n^2\delta^2 - 10n\lambda + 5n - 4n\delta - 2)}{(n\delta - 2)(n\delta - n - 2)(n\delta - n - 3)(2n\delta - n - 4)}, \\
 \alpha_9 &= \frac{\lambda}{\delta - 1}, & \alpha_{10} &= \frac{-n\lambda(\delta + \lambda - 1)}{(\delta - 1)(n\delta - n - 1)(2n\delta - n - 2)}, \\
 \alpha_{11} &= \frac{\lambda(n\lambda + 1)}{(\delta - 1)(n\delta - 1 - n)}, & \alpha_{12} &= \frac{-3n\lambda(n\lambda + 1)(\delta + \lambda - 1)}{(\delta - 1)(n\delta - 1 - n)(n\delta - n - 2)(2n\delta - 2 - n)}, \\
 \alpha_{13} &= \frac{\lambda(n\lambda + 1)(n\lambda + 2)}{(\delta - 1)(n\delta - n - 1)(n\delta - 2 - n)}. & & (4.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *The inverse  $\mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1)$ -equivariant quantization map  $Q_{\lambda, \mu}$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_3^{ijk} &= \bar{a}_3^{ijk}, & a_2^{ij} &= \bar{a}_2^{ij} - \alpha_1 g_{lm} (g^{jk} \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{ilm} + g^{ik} \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{jlm}) - \alpha_2 \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{ijk} - \alpha_3 g^{ij} \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{klm} g_{lm}, \\
 a_1^i &= \bar{a}_1^i - \alpha_4 g^{ij} \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{kl} g_{kl} - \alpha_5 \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{ij} + (\alpha_1 \alpha_5 - \alpha_6) g^{jk} \partial_j \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{ilm} g_{lm} \\
 &\quad + (\alpha_2 \alpha_5 - \alpha_7) \partial_j \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{ijk} + ((2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + n\alpha_3)\alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)\alpha_5 - \alpha_8) g^{ij} \partial_j \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{klm} g_{lm}, \\
 a_0 &= \bar{a}_0 - \alpha_9 \partial_i \bar{a}_1^i + (\alpha_4 \alpha_9 - \alpha_{10}) g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{kl} g_{kl} + (\alpha_5 \alpha_9 - \alpha_{11}) \partial_i \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{ij} \\
 &\quad + ((2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + n\alpha_3)(\alpha_{10} - \alpha_4 \alpha_9) + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(\alpha_{11} - \alpha_5 \alpha_9) \\
 &\quad + (\alpha_6 + \alpha_8)\alpha_9 - \alpha_{12}) g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{klm} g_{lm} \\
 &\quad + ((\alpha_7 - \alpha_2 \alpha_5)\alpha_9 + \alpha_2 \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{13}) \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \bar{a}_3^{ijk}. & & (4.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

4.2. Particular case corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^2$  and  $S_\delta^2$

If  $\bar{a}_3^{ijk} = 0$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{a}_2^{ij} &= a_2^{ij}, & \bar{a}_1^i &= a_1^i + \alpha_4 g^{ij} g_{kl} \partial_j a_2^{kl} + \alpha_5 \partial_j a_2^{ij}, \\
 \bar{a}_0 &= a_0 + \alpha_9 \partial_i a_1^i + \alpha_{10} g^{ij} g_{kl} \partial_i \partial_j a_2^{kl} + \alpha_{11} \partial_i \partial_j a_2^{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_2^{ij} &= \bar{a}_2^{ij}, & a_1^i &= \bar{a}_1^i - \alpha_4 g^{ij} \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{kl} g_{kl} - \alpha_5 \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{ij}, \\
 a_0 &= \bar{a}_0 - \alpha_9 \partial_i \bar{a}_1^i + (\alpha_4 \alpha_9 - \alpha_{10}) g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{kl} g_{kl} + (\alpha_5 \alpha_9 - \alpha_{11}) \partial_i \partial_j \bar{a}_2^{ij}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We recognize the result of [6].

### 4.3. Application

Let us illustrate our quantization procedure with a specific and important example, namely the quantization of the geodesic flow on a conformally flat manifold  $(M, \bar{g})$ . Consider, on  $T^*M$ , the quadratic Hamiltonian

$$H = \bar{g}^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j,$$

whose flow projects onto the geodesics of  $(M, \bar{g})$ .

In the case  $n \geq 2$ , and for  $\lambda = \mu = \frac{1}{2}$ , the quantization map  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  yields the following expression:

$$Q_{1/2,1/2}(H) = \Delta - \frac{n^2}{4(n-1)(n+2)} R,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator and  $R$  the scalar curvature of  $(M, \bar{g})$ . This operator is a natural new candidate for the quantized Hamiltonian of the geodesic flow on a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold. None of the expressions obtained in the literature by different methods of quantization (see, e.g., [5] for the relevant references) correspond to this one; all these expressions lack the conformal equivariance property (in the conformally flat case).

### 5. Conformally equivariant quantization map

It should be emphasized that the isomorphism (2.2) is necessarily given by a differential map. This fact is already guaranteed by the equivariance with respect to the subalgebra  $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$  generated by homotheties and translations, i.e. by the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1** (Lecomte and Ovsienko [13]). *If  $k \geq l$ , any  $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ -equivariant map  $S_\delta^k \rightarrow S_\delta^l$  is local.*

By Peetre’s theorem [15,16] such maps are locally given by differential operators. We will solve the equivariance equation and show that the quantization map is given by a globally defined differential operator.

Proposition 5.1 together with the generalized Weyl–Brauer theorem (cf. [6]), leads to the general form for a  $(e(p, q))$ -equivariant quantization map  $Q_{\lambda,\mu} : S_\delta^k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k$  given by differential operators  $Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \alpha_{r,e,g,d,l,t} R^r E^e G^g D^d \Delta^l \text{Tr}^t$ , where  $\alpha_{r,e,g,d,l,t}$  are smooth function on  $M$  and

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \xi^i \xi_i, & E &= \mathcal{E} + \frac{n}{2} = \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} + \frac{n}{2}, & G &= \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \\ D &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, & \Delta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, & T &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}, \end{aligned}$$

generate the commutant  $(e(p, q) = \langle X_{ij}, X_i \rangle)^l$  in  $\text{End}(\mathbf{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, x^1, \dots, x^n])$ . Since  $[Q_{\lambda,\mu}, L_{X_i^\delta}] = (-\partial_i(\alpha_{r,e,g,d,l,t}))Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  and  $[Q_{\lambda,\mu}, L_{X_0^\delta}] = 2(r + g + l - t)Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ , then the



equivariance with respect to translations and homotheties implies that  $\alpha_{r,e,g,d,l}$  are constant and  $t = r + g + l$ . Finally, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.2.** Any  $\mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1)$ -equivariant quantization map  $Q_{\lambda,\mu} : S_{\delta}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^k$  is of the form

$$Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \alpha_{r,e,g,d,l} R_0^r \mathcal{E}^e G_0^g D^d \Delta_0^l, \tag{5.1}$$

where we have put

$$R_0 = R \circ T, \quad G_0 = G \circ T, \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta \circ T.$$

We will also impose the natural normalization condition which demands that the principal symbol be preserved:

$$\alpha_{r,e,0,0,0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (r, e) = (0, 0), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.1}'$$

### 5.1. Solving the equivariance equation

In the case of third order differential operators, which is the one this article is devoted to, the corresponding form is given by the following proposition:

**Proposition 5.3.** There exists a unique quantization map of the form:

$$Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \text{Id} + \gamma_1 G_0 + \gamma_2 D + \gamma_3 \mathcal{E} D + \gamma_4 \Delta_0 + \gamma_5 D^2 + \gamma_6 \mathcal{E} D^2 + \gamma_7 G_0^2 + \gamma_8 \mathcal{E} \Delta_0 + \gamma_9 D \Delta_0 + \gamma_{10} D^3 + \gamma_{11} \mathcal{E} G_0 + \gamma_{12} \mathcal{E}^2 D + \gamma_{13} R_0 D, \tag{5.2}$$

satisfying the equivariance equation

$$Q_{\lambda,\mu} L_X^{\delta} = L_X^{\lambda,\mu} Q_{\lambda,\mu} \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{o}(p + 1, q + 1). \tag{5.3}$$

The equivariance condition for the quantization map leads to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} (2 - n\delta)(\gamma_1 + \gamma_{11}) - (\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_{12}) &= -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (2 + n(1 - 2\delta))\gamma_4 - \gamma_5 &= n\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_{11}), \\ 2(1 + n(1 - \delta))\gamma_5 &= n\lambda(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_{12}), \quad (1 - \delta)\gamma_2 = \lambda, \\ 2\gamma_3 + (6 + n(1 - \delta))\gamma_{12} &= 0, \quad 2\gamma_2 + n(1 - \delta)\gamma_3 - 4\gamma_{12} = 1, \\ -\gamma_3 + (2 - n\delta)\gamma_{11} - 3\gamma_{12} &= 0, \quad \gamma_1 + 2\gamma_{11} + (2 + n(1 - \delta))\gamma_{13} = 0, \\ 2(2\gamma_5 + (3 + n(1 - \delta))\gamma_6) &= \gamma_2 + (n\lambda + 2)\gamma_3 + (3n\lambda + 4)\gamma_{12}, \\ 2\gamma_4 - \gamma_6 + (4 + n(1 - 2\delta))\gamma_8 &= \gamma_1 + (n\lambda + 2)\gamma_{11}, \\ 2\gamma_4 + 2(2 + n(1 - \delta))\gamma_7 + 2\gamma_8 &= (n\lambda + 1)(\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_{11}), \\ (2 + n(1 - \delta))\gamma_9 &= n\lambda(\gamma_4 + \gamma_8), \quad 3(2 + n(1 - \delta))\gamma_{10} = n\lambda(\gamma_5 + \gamma_6). \end{aligned}$$

The solution of this system<sup>1</sup> is unique for every  $\delta$  as in (2.3).

<sup>1</sup> The system has been interpreted with Maple logiciel.

5.2. *Example:*  $\lambda = \mu = \frac{1}{2}$

In this special case (considered in the framework of geometric quantization) one obtains:

$$Q_{1/2,1/2} = \text{Id} + \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{n}{8(n+1)(n+2)}\Delta_0 + \frac{n}{8(n+1)}D^2 + \frac{1}{4(n+1)(n+3)}\mathcal{E}D^2 \\ - \frac{n^2 + 2n - 2}{4(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4)}\mathcal{E}\Delta_0 + \frac{n}{16(n+3)(n+4)}D\Delta_0 \\ - \frac{1}{8(n+3)(n+4)}G_0^2 + \frac{n}{48(n+3)}D^3.$$

**Remark 5.4.** If  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the preceding formula becomes:

$$Q_{1/2,1/2} = \text{Id} + \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{8}D^2 + \frac{1}{48}D^3.$$

We recognize the Weyl quantization (cf. [8], p. 87; [1]):

$$Q_{\text{Weyl}} = \exp\left(i\left(\frac{1}{2}\hbar\right)D\right) = \text{Id} + i\frac{1}{2}\hbar D - \left(\frac{1}{8}\hbar^2\right)D^2 - i\left(\frac{1}{48}\hbar^3\right)D^3 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^4).$$

### 5.3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

The  $\mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1)$ -equivariant quantization map (5.2) coincides with the expression (4.4) according to the identification given by  $\sigma$  (see (3.3)'). We have thus proven the existence of an isomorphism (2.2) provided the coefficients  $\alpha$  are well defined, i.e. condition (2.3) holds. This proves part (1) of Theorem 2.1.

Then, the formula (5.1) and the normalization condition insure that, up to a multiplicative constant, every  $\mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1)$ -equivariant quantization map is, indeed, of the form (5.2). The uniqueness of the quantization map (part (2) of Theorem 2.1) follow immediately from (5.2).

### 5.4. Proof of Theorem 2.5

The previous system determines all  $\mathfrak{o}(p+1, q+1)$ -equivariant linear maps from  $S_8^3$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ . In the resonant case, of course, this system has, in general, no solution. However, solving it for  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$  as an extra indeterminate, one immediately obtains the values of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  as shown in the table.

## Acknowledgements

The problem has been posed by Valentin Ovsienko. It is a pleasure to acknowledge numerous fruitful discussions with Christian Duval and his constant interest to this work. I am grateful to Pierre Lecomte for his interest in this work.

## References

- [1] G.S. Argaval, E. Wolf, Calculus for functions of noncommuting operators and general phase space methods in quantum mechanics. I. Mapping theorems and ordering of functions on noncommuting operators, *Phys. Rev. D* 2 (10) (1970) 2161–2188.
- [2] R.J. Blattner, Quantization and representation theory, in: *Proceedings of the Symposium on Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 26, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1974, pp. 145–165.
- [3] S. Bouarroudj, V. Ovsienko, Schwarzian derivative related to modules of differential operators on a locally projective manifold, Banach Center Publication, Institute of Mathematics, Warszawa, 2000.
- [4] P. Cohen, Y. Manin, D. Zagier, Automorphic pseudodifferential operator, Algebraic aspects of integrable systems, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations Application*, Vol. 26, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997, pp. 17–47.
- [5] C. Duval, V. Ovsienko, Space of second order linear differential operators as a module over the Lie algebra of vector fields, *Adv. Math.* 132 (2) (1997) 316–333.
- [6] C. Duval, V. Ovsienko, Conformally equivariant quantization, Preprint CPT-98/p. 3610, *Math. DG/9801122*.
- [7] C. Duval, P.B.A. Lecomte, V. Ovsienko, Conformally equivariant quantization: existence and uniqueness, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 49 (6) (1999) 1999–2029.
- [8] B. Fedosov, *Deformation Quantization and Index Theory*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [9] H. Gargoubi, Sur la géométrie des opérateurs différentiels linéaires sur  $\mathbb{R}$ , Preprint CPT, 1997, p. 3472.
- [10] H. Gargoubi, V. Ovsienko, Space of linear differential operators on the real line as module over the Lie algebra of vector fields, *International Research Mathematical Notes*, No. 5, 1996, pp. 235–251.
- [11] P.B.A. Lecomte, On the Cohomologie of  $sl(m + 1, \mathbb{R})$  acting on differential operators and  $sl(m + 1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant symbol, Preprint, Université de Liège, 1998.
- [12] P.B.A. Lecomte, P. Mathonet, E. Tousset, Comparison of some modules of the Lie algebra of vector fields, *Indag. Math. N.S.* 7 (4) (1996) 46–471.
- [13] P.B.A. Lecomte, V. Ovsienko, Projectively invariant symbol calculus, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 49 (3) (1999) 173–196.
- [14] P. Mathonet, Intertwining operators between some spaces of differential operators on a manifold, Preprint, Université de Liège, 1997.
- [15] J. Peetre, Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels, *Math. Scand.* 7 (1959) 211–218.
- [16] J. Peetre, Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels, *Math. Scand.* 8 (1960) 116–120.
- [17] E.J. Wilczinski, *Projective Differential Geometry of Curves and Ruled Surfaces*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1906.